## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

H.D

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,531	04/02/2004	Marc Schaepkens	133525-1/YOD GERD:0065	4869	
Patrick S. Yode	7590 03/05/2007	EXAMINER ·			
FLETCHER YODER P.O. Box 692289 Houston, TX 77269-2289			, CHU, CHRIS C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, 1717	,20, 220,		2815		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
SCHAEPKENS ET AL.	
Art Unit	
2815	

	Chris C. Chu	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendme ice of Appeal (with appeal fe	nt, affidavit, or other evider e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		N THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 C ension and the corresponding ar hortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mai	mount of the fee. The appropr ly originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a	brief, will not be entered b	ecause .
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		illy rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		0	(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		anata timalu filad amandan	ont concoling the
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 10 and 47 - 50.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11 - 33.</li> </ul>	ided below or appended.	⊠ wiii be entered and an d	expianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filir d sufficient reasons why the	ig a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		KENNETH PAI SUPERVISORY PATEN	RKER IT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 4 and 49 have been amended to now cite at least that a second protective layer coupled to the second polymeric transparent film which requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 12, applicant argue "the superstrate is disposed next to, but not in contact with, the organic electronic device." This argument is not persuasive because the superstrate (250) of Padiyath et al. is disposed next to, but not in contact, with the organic electronic device (220) through a distance of a sealant (240; see e.g., Fig. 4). Furthermore, the superstrate (250) of Padiyath et al. is coupled directly to the sealant (240; see e.g., Fig. 4). Thus, Padiyath et al. discloses the claimed structure as set forth in claims 1 and 47.

For the above reasons, the rejection is maintained.